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ABSTRACT

A series of six new fluorinated acrylamide monomers have been studied. These included 1,1-dihydrotrifluoroethyl acrylamide, 1,1-dihydroheptafluorobutyl acrylamide and the N-methyl, N-n-butyl, and N-isobutyl derivatives of the latter.

Homopolymerization of the fluoroacrylamide monomers readily proceeded both in bulk and in solution with benzoyl peroxide. Liquid monomers polymerized in emulsion with persulfate initiators. All homopolymers were thermoplastics which could be cast to yield clear transparent films. The electrical, thermal, and dilute solution behavior of some of these materials has been studied.

Copolymerization proceeded with a number of co-monomers which included alkyl acrylates, fluoroalkyl acrylates, vinyl ethers, and several dienes. Essential parameters such as monomer reactivity ratios, intrinsic viscosities and empirical slope constants $k'$ were evaluated for selected copolymers.
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There is a need even in today's operational aircraft for materials possessing thermal stabilities above those presently known. New synthetic organic and inorganic polymers are being investigated by the Air Force in an attempt to discover new materials with inherent high thermal stabilities. Aircraft of the future will impose more stringent demands for these compounds, and development of new synthetic materials is an essential prerequisite to the production of future superior air weapons.

This report contains results of an initial investigation related to the polymerization and characterization of a new series of fluorinated acrylamide monomers of potential use for specialized high temperature applications as aircraft materials.
Contrails
A. Polymerization Techniques

The six fluorinated acrylamide monomers prepared for use in this investigation are listed in Table 2. The synthesis and physical properties of these monomers have already been reported.1

The fluorinated acrylamide monomers homopolymerized rapidly to high conversions in either bulk, solution, or emulsion systems. Induction periods of long duration were often encountered. Careful removal of oxygen from the system resulted in almost complete elimination of these induction periods.

The limited quantities of monomers available necessitated the use of small scale polymerizations. Bulk homopolymerizations were run with 0.5 gm. of monomer and 1% benzoyl peroxide as initiator. Solution polymerizations were generally run in benzene with 1 gm. of monomer and 1% benzoyl peroxide as initiator. Emulsion polymerizations and copolymerization of liquid monomers were run with 5 gm. of monomer and potassium persulfate as initiator.

The N-4FBAm Monomer was taken as a representative member of this series and its emulsion polymerization was subjected to a more quantitative study.

The simple emulsion recipe shown in Table 1 was employed.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>EMULSION RECIPE</th>
<th>Parts</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Monomer</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K₂S₂O₈</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sodium Lauryl sulfate</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>50°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This same recipe was extensively used in emulsion work with the fluorinated acrylamide monomers. A time - conversion graph for the polymerization is presented in Figure 1.

Numbers refer to Bibliography on Page 26
### Table 2

**Fluoro - Acrylamide Monomers**

#### N-1,1-Dihydroperfluoro-acrylamides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monomer</th>
<th>Abbrev.</th>
<th>N.P.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_2=\text{CH}-\text{N}-\text{CH}_2\text{CF}_3 )</td>
<td>FBAm</td>
<td>74.5-75.0^\circ C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \text{CH}_2=\text{CH}-\text{N}-\text{CH}_2\text{C}_3\text{F}_7 )</td>
<td>FBAm</td>
<td>57.4-57.6^\circ C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### N-Alkyl-N-1,1-Dihydroperfluorobutyl Acrylamides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>N-Alkyl Group</th>
<th>Abbrev.</th>
<th>B. F. - Pressure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Methyl</td>
<td>N-mFBAm</td>
<td>75^\circ C 11 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl</td>
<td>N-EFBAm</td>
<td>95 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-Butyl</td>
<td>N-nBFBAm</td>
<td>112 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ISO-Butyl</td>
<td>N-1BFBAm</td>
<td>107 15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Time - Conversion Curve
An increased rate of polymerization occurred at about 30% conversion. Beyond this point, a plot of concentration vs. time indicated that the polymerization closely followed kinetics of a zero order reaction. This behavior is in keeping with findings for other emulsion polymerizations as is the initial slow rate resulting from the induction period.

It can be noted in Figure 1 that only about 10 minutes were required to complete the major portion of the polymerization (30-95%). This indicates the rapidity of polymerization which was found characteristic of the fluoro-acylamide monomers.

B. Polymer Characteristics

All of the coagulated polymers whether prepared by emulsion or solution techniques, were exceptionally rubbery. This condition undoubtedly resulted from a plasticizing action of aqueous methanol in the case of emulsion prepared polymers and from benzene in solution prepared polymers. In this plasticized state the polymers exhibited true elastomeric behavior. They possessed a lively snap, considerable strength, and could be elongated several hundred percent.

Upon evaporation of solvent, all polymers in this series were thermoplastic solids which could be cast to yield clear transparent films or drawn from melts or solution into weak fibers.

The poly-fluoro-acylamides are similar to polyethyl methacrylate in appearance and texture. Flexibility of the polymers did not vary greatly within the series but increased with the length of the alkyl group.

The homopolymers were highly resistant to common organic solvents. (Poly-FEAm, soluble in acetone and swelled greatly by methanol, was an exception) Fluorinated solvents such as methyl- and ethylperfluorobutyrate were found most effective. Monomeric 1,1-dihydroperfluorobutyl acrylate also dissolved the polymers. Carbon tetrachloride exhibited considerable swelling action and worked well in conjunction with the other fluorinated solvents.

Electrical measurements were made on a sample of linear poly-N-nBFBAm both before and after exposure to gamma radiation. The results, which represent approximate values, are tabulated in Table 3. The sample remained clear and transparent during irradiation. Failure of the irradiated N-nBFBAm to dissolve in methylperfluorobutyrate (a good solvent for the linear polymer) plus the greatly increased brittleness was evidence that exposure to gamma radiation had resulted in appreciable crosslinking.

The behavior of the fluoro-acylamide polymers on heating was the subject of some study. All observations other than oven tests were made with a small
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determination</th>
<th>Before Irradiation</th>
<th>After Gamma</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Surface Resistivity</td>
<td>$10^{15}$ ohms/sq</td>
<td>$10^{15}$ ohms/sq</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Volume Resistivity</td>
<td>$10^{15}$ ohms cm</td>
<td>$10^{15}$ ohms cm</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric Constant</td>
<td>3.31</td>
<td>3.57</td>
<td>$10^6$ cycles/sec</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dielectric Loss Tangent</td>
<td>0.0245</td>
<td>0.0228</td>
<td>$10^6$ cycles/sec</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
hot stage heated at a rate of 2°C per minute. The acrylamides of the primary amines began to soften about 140°C; acrylamides of the secondary amines began softening around 100°C. No sharp melting points were exhibited by any of the homopolymers. Continued heating resulted in the formation of rubbery solids which slowly melted to viscous liquids (about 200°C). The thermal stability of these materials was not extensively evaluated but appears to warrant further study. This conclusion was based on some outstanding results observed with two copolymers described below.

A copolymer prepared from 1,1-dihydroheptafluorobutyl acrylate (FBA) and FEAm (25 mole percent charge) was heated to 330°C. Only faint yellowing resulted. This represented approximately 100°C improvement over the darkening and apparent decomposition point for a control sample of poly FBA.

A copolymer of N-mFBAm and trifluoroethyl acrylate (FEA) prepared from equimolar charges lost 5.4% of its weight after oven aging for 72 hours at 350°F. Poly FEA lost over five times this amount (29.1%) in the same test.

SECTION II

COPOLYMERS

A. Copolymerization

Experience has shown that the fluorinated acrylamides copolymerize readily with a variety of monomer types. Comonomer types investigated included dienes, vinyl ethers, alkyl- and fluoroalkyl acrylates. A summary of copolymerizations is presented in Table 4.

B. Reactivity Ratios

1. Discussion

Reactivity ratios \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) are important parameters for characterizing the behavior of individual monomers in copolymerization reactions. Thus \( r_1 \) represents the ratio of rate constants for the free radical of monomer one \( (k_1) \) with monomer one \( (k_1) \) and with monomer two \( (k_2) \) respectively. These ratios describe in terms of reaction rates the relative tendency for a particular monomer to homopolymerize compared to its tendency toward copolymerization. The complete theoretical development of this theory is described elsewhere.\(^{3,4}\)

One convenient method for deriving values for \( r_1 \) and \( r_2 \) consists of substituting the experimentally determined monomer and copolymer compositions for a single copolymerization run into Equation 1, \( F_1 = (r_1 f_1^2 + 2r_1 f_2 + r_2 f_2^2) / \)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monomer and/or Co Monomer</th>
<th>Polymerization Conditions and Yield</th>
<th>Remarks</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>FBA</td>
<td>Homopolymer, benzene, $C_{2}H_{5}$</td>
<td>Hard brittle, opaque, thermostatic, insol MFB, sol acetone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBA</td>
<td>Emulsion, $K_{2}C_{2}O$, 50°C, 41%</td>
<td>Hard, brittle, appeared nonhomogeneous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Butadiene</td>
<td>Emulsion, $K_{2}C_{2}O$, 50°C, 100%</td>
<td>White powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroprene</td>
<td>Emulsion, $K_{2}C_{2}O$, 25°C, 68%</td>
<td>Strong elastomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethyl Acrylate</td>
<td>Emulsion, $K_{2}C_{2}O$, 50°C, 100%</td>
<td>Hard, brittle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butyl Acrylate</td>
<td>Emulsion, $K_{2}C_{2}O$, 50°C, 52%</td>
<td>Hard, brittle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Octyl Acrylate</td>
<td>Emulsion, $K_{2}C_{2}O$, 50°C, 72%</td>
<td>Only slight flexibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butyl Vinyl Ether</td>
<td>Emulsion, $K_{2}C_{2}O$, 50°C, 48%</td>
<td>Brittle, resinous</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBA</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Stiff waxy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBA</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>Strong elastomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBA</td>
<td>Homopolymer, benzene, $C_{2}H_{5}$</td>
<td>Hard brittle, opaque, thermostatic, sol MFB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Acrylonitrile</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Hard, brittle; powder on grinding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chloroprene</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Tough elastomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>n-Butyl Vinyl Ether</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Brittle orange-yellow solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Brittle resin; brown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBA</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Tough waxy elastomer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-4FBAm</td>
<td>Homopolymer, benzene, $C_{2}H_{5}$</td>
<td>Brittle, clear polymer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homopolymer</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Brittle, clear transparent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homopolymer</td>
<td>Emulsion, $K_{2}C_{2}O$, 50°C, 97%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MFA *</td>
<td>25-75</td>
<td>Reactivity ratio copolymerizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-1FBAm</td>
<td>Homopolymer, benzene, $C_{2}H_{5}$</td>
<td>White opaque solid</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N-2FBAm</td>
<td>Homopolymer, bulk benzene, 100°C</td>
<td>Yellow transparent plastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>*</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Clear plastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FBA *</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>White opaque plastic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFBF*</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Tough horny polymer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFBF*</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>Waxy, somewhat brittle material</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VFBF*</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Rubbery at 310°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MFB   Methylperfluorobutyrate
* FBA  1,1-Dioctfluorobutyral acrylate
* MAA  Methyl methacrylate
* FBA  Trifluoroethyl acrylate
* VFBF 1,1-Dihydroperfluorobutyl vinyl ether
* TAC  Trisallyl cyanurate
(r_1 f_1^2 + r_2 f_2^2) where r_1 represents the fraction of monomer M_1 in the increment of copolymer at a given stage in the polymerization; f_1 and f_2 represent the mole fractions of monomers M_1 and M_2 in the feed. This is determined for several copolymerizations and r_1 is plotted as a function of r_2 for each case. The average point of intersection represents the best experimental values of r_1 and r_2.

2. Experimental

Reactivity ratios for the copolymer system methyl methacrylate-N-EFBAm were obtained by the intersection method described above. Copolymerizations were allowed to proceed to between 5 and 10% conversion and the copolymers were isolated and purified. Copolymer composition was determined from nitrogen content (Crippen & Erlich Laboratories, Inc.).

A plot of r_1 as a function of r_2 using Equation 1 is shown in Figure 2.

The small quantities of fluoro-acrylamide monomers allocated for these studies made it necessary to limit the total monomer charge to 5.0 gm per run. A 10% conversion or 0.5 gm of polymer was considered the maximum allowable for the determination. Previous reactivity ratio determinations on this small a scale have not come to our attention.

Copolymerizations were essentially run in bulk although a small amount of benzene was used to wash residual catalyst into polymerization vials. The following recipe was employed:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monomers</th>
<th>5.0 gm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benzoyl Peroxide</td>
<td>0.05 gm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benzene</td>
<td>0.4-0.8 ml</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Temperature</td>
<td>66 ± 10°C</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The relatively small scale of the polymerizations plus the rapid polymerization rates of the fluoro-acrylamide monomers necessitated that the solutions be carefully watched and quickly coagulated after polymerization commenced. A simple procedure accomplished this. Glass tubing (9 mm) was cut to short lengths and a fragile glass bulb of about 10 cm³ capacity was blown at one end. The ingredients were introduced, frozen in Dry Ice, and sealed in an atmosphere of nitrogen. Vials were agitated just below the surface of a constant temperature bath with the aid of a shaking machine. The small vials were held by merely "plugging" them into a piece of rubber vacuum hose. This facilitated rapid removal for observation and four polymerizations could easily be run concurrently by this procedure. Only a
Figure 2

Monomer Reactivity Ratios

\[ \begin{align*}
\tau_1 &= 0.89 \ (N\text{-EFBA}_m) \\
\tau_2 &= 0.77 \ (\text{MMA})
\end{align*} \]
few preliminary runs were required to enable one to determine when polymerization had commenced. After evidence of polymerization was noted, vials were quickly removed and coagulated by crushing the fragile bulb in a beaker of methanol. Polymers thus obtained were subjected to three reprecipitations and two filtrations through glass sintered filters. Results are presented in Table 5.

A value of 0.89 for the reactivity ratio of the N-EFBAm indicates the activated fluorinated acrylamide monomer shows a slightly greater tendency to copolymerize with a methylmethacrylate molecule than to homopolymerize.

C. Copolymerization Curve

Data obtained in reactivity ratio determinations made it possible to construct the copolymerization curve for the N-EFBAm-methylmethacrylate system. Since both reactivity ratios were less than unity a critical concentration or crossover point was known to exist.

The critical concentration point, \((f_1)_c\), at which monomer feed and copolymer have identical composition, was not apparent from experimental data. However setting \(F_1=1\) in Equation 1. gives an expression for the critical concentration; \(\frac{1}{(f_1)_c} = \frac{(1-r_2)}{(2-r_1-r_2)}\) Equation 2. and \((f_1)_c\) was calculated to be 0.687. The copolymerization curve shown in Figure 3 was constructed from the experimental data and the calculated crossover point. From the copolymerization curve it is possible to estimate the ratio monomers are combining to form polymer for any given concentration of monomers. Tailored polymers, with respect to composition, can thus be prepared for any system from a knowledge of the copolymerization curve provided only that monomer concentrations can be maintained constant during the polymerization. It can be seen that in the N-EFBAm-methylmethacrylate system, the combining ratio for the monomers closely approximates monomer concentrations. This is more often not the case and wide variations between monomer concentration and polymer composition frequently exist.

D. Dilute Solution Studies

1. Polymer Fractionation

In cases where little is known about the macromolecular nature of a copolymer, fractional precipitation in conjunction with other determinations often provides valuable indications of the true nature of the copolymers. The theory of polymer fractionation is based on molecular weight differences which exist in the polymer mass; chains with similar molecular weights exhibit similar solubilities. Two complicating factors, however, also affect the fractionation. These are polymer composition.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run No.</th>
<th>Monomer Charge in Mole Fractions</th>
<th>Reaction Time at 600°C in Hours : minutes</th>
<th>Percent Conversion</th>
<th>Nitrogen Content of Copolymer</th>
<th>Copolymer Composition in Mole Fractions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N-EBBAm ($f_1$)</td>
<td>MAA ($f_2$)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>.1800</td>
<td>.8200</td>
<td>3 : 20</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>2.17%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>.2037</td>
<td>.7963</td>
<td>3 : 50</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>2.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>.3160</td>
<td>.1840</td>
<td>1 : 0</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>.7324</td>
<td>.2678</td>
<td>1 : 10</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>4.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>.1921</td>
<td>.8076</td>
<td>1 : 35</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>2.23</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
COPOLYMERIZATION CURVE
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Figure 3

Copolymerization Curve
Two copolymers previously listed in Table 4 were selected for studies related to compositional and molecular structure heterogeneities. These were the n-butyl vinyl ether-FEAm copolymer and the n-butyl acrylate-FEAm copolymer.

2. Compositional Heterogeneities

a. Discussion

The composition of polymer chains varies continuously during the course of almost all copolymerizations as has already been indicated. This inevitably results in heterogeneous comonomer content in the macromolecules. Such compositional heterogeneities are serious obstacles in the evaluation of molecular characteristics for copolymers. The generally accepted methods of evaluating chain length (solubility fractionation and dilute solution viscosity) can be radically affected by these simultaneous changes in composition.

The compositional differences of both copolymers studied were determined by elemental analysis. These are indicated in the inserts of Figures 4 and 5.

The data for the n-butyl vinyl ether-FEAm copolymer indicate fractionation resulted primarily from molecular weight variations. This is substantiated by the fairly constant composition of the polymer fractions and the steady decrease in values for intrinsic viscosities ($\eta$) is proportional to molecular weight. See discussion on Page 17.

In contrast, the affect compositional changes played in the fractionation of the n-butyl acrylate-FEAm copolymer can readily be interpreted from the analytical and viscosity data. With only slight deviation, the nitrogen content of fractions increased steadily from a value of 2.70% to 7.79%. Intrinsic viscosity values, after first declining normally, again increased markedly.

b. Experimental

Fractional precipitation by addition of a nonsolvent to a polymer solution was employed. Conventionally accepted methods described below were used with the exception that the relatively small volumes of solution made it convenient to employ a large centrifuge to aid in the separations. This resulted in a loss of temperature control for a short period but considerably reduced the difficulty of separating the voluminous precipitate from solution in instances when it was slow to settle.

The two copolymers were both soluble in acetone. Fractionations were made from dilute acetone solutions (concentration of less than 1% polymer)
COPOLYMER FRACTIONATION

FEA<sub>m/n</sub>-BUTYL VINYL ETHER; MONOMER CHARGE 1:1 (MOLAR)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FRACTION</th>
<th>%N</th>
<th>µg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>8.35</td>
<td>.490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>8.42</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>8.25</td>
<td>.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>8.65</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>7.93</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7 &amp; 8</td>
<td>8.15</td>
<td>.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POLYFEA&lt;sub&gt;m&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>9.15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

MILLILITERS OF PRECIPITANT

Figure 4

Copolymer Fractionation
COPOLYMER FRACTIONATION

FEA<sub>m</sub> / n-BUTYL ACRYLATE; MONOMER CHARGE 1:1 (MOLAR)

% WEIGHT OF POLYMER RECOVERED

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>FRACTION</th>
<th>% N</th>
<th>[η]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.70</td>
<td>.690</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>.641</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3.03</td>
<td>.586</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>.493</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>3.64</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>.494</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>.534</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>6.69</td>
<td>.687</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>7.79</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Figure 5

Copolymer Fractionation
using water as a precipitant. The fractionations were performed with small samples; the n-butyl acrylate-PEAm copolymer weighed 2.52 gm; the n-butyl vinyl ether-PEAm copolymer weighed 1.79 gm. In the former case, 12 fractions were obtained of between 0.1 and 0.2 gm; in the latter, 3 fractions of approximately equal size were obtained. Plots of recovered polymer vs. precipitant added are presented in Figures 4 and 5.

Fractions were precipitated by titration with distilled water. Precipitated fractions were warmed and gently agitated until a clear solution was obtained. The solutions were placed in a constant temperature bath (25°C) for at least 12 hours. The solutions were centrifuged for a few minutes and the supernatant liquid removed. Fractions were dried and weighed. Two successive solutions, filtrations and precipitations were employed to sharpen fractions. Fractions were again dried (150°F for 17 hours) and subsequently used for viscosity studies and elemental analyses.

3. Molecular Structure Heterogeneities

a. Discussion

Insight into the nature of molecular structure heterogeneities required more detailed dilute solution studies. Extensive pursuit of such studies can provide valuable indications of intermolecular interactions, molecular weight ranges, and the nature of polymer-polymer and polymer-solvent interaction.

There is considerable evidence\(^9\) which supports the idea that the structural heterogeneity resulting from chain branching can be detected by a determination of the Huggins' slope constant, \(k'\), found in the equations proposed by Huggins\(^10\)

\[
\eta_{sp/c} = [\eta] + k' [\eta]^2 c \quad \text{Equation 3}
\]

where \(\eta_{sp/c}\) is the reduced viscosity, \([\eta]\) the intrinsic viscosity, and \(c\) the concentration in gm/100 ml. Branched polymers have been found to yield higher values for \(k'\) than unbranched polymers\(^9\). Several workers have noted such anomalous viscosity behavior and attributed them to the branched species.\(^11, 12, 13\)

The empirical slope constant \(k'\) corresponds to the constant \(\beta\) in the equation of Mark and Fuoss\(^14\).

\[
\ln \frac{\eta_r}{c} = [\eta] - \beta [\eta]^2 c \quad \text{Equation 4}
\]

where \(\eta_r\) is the relative viscosity.
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Curves of $\eta_s/c$ vs. $c$ and $(\ln \eta_r)/c$ vs. $c$ are linear at very low concentrations and $k'$ and $\beta$ are evaluated from the limiting slopes ($c \to 0$) of these equations. The empirical slope constants are related by

$$k' = A - \beta$$

Equation 5

where $A = 1/2.15$

It can be seen from equations 3 and 4 that in the limit as $c \to 0$, $\eta_s/c = \eta$ and $(\ln \eta_r)/c = \eta$ respectively, hence the intrinsic viscosity, $\eta$, can be readily evaluated by extrapolation of either of these curves to infinite dilution using data obtained at finite concentrations. Often both extrapolations are made to pinpoint $\eta$ with greater accuracy. Because of the nature of the curves it is more convenient, when determining the empirical slope constants, to determine $\beta$ and compute $k'$ from it using equation 5.

The intrinsic viscosity finds wide use in the characterization of macromolecules. Probably the most significant relationship is in the equation

$$\eta = K M^a$$

where $K$ and $a$ are empirical constants and $K$ is the number average molecular weight. It has been indicated that

$$\eta = \lim_{c \to 0} (\eta_s/c)$$

where $\eta_s$ is the specific viscosity. The specific viscosity is defined in terms of the relative viscosity, $\eta_r$,

$$\eta_s = \eta_r - 1$$

Finally the relative viscosity is defined by the solution viscosity, $\eta^s$, and the solvent viscosity, $\eta^o$, by the relationship

$$\eta^s / \eta^o = \eta_r$$

The viscosities $\eta^o$ and $\eta^s$ are experimentally determined from carefully prepared polymer solutions of known concentration.

In many investigations the assumptions are made that density differences (between polymer solution and pure solvent) and kinetic energy corrections are negligible. This allows flow times to be substituted directly in above equations in place of viscosities and $\eta_r = t_r, \eta_s/c = t_{sp/c}$, and $[\eta] = \eta_r$. 
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b. Experimental

Data obtained in the present investigation are presented in Tables 6 and 7.

Intrinsic viscosities for fractionated FEAm copolymers were determined from plots using both the equation of Huggins and of Mead and Fuoss. These are presented in Figures 6, 7, 8, and 9. The empirical slope constant was calculated and \( k' \) determined by use of equation 5.

Normal values for \( k' \) range from 0.35 to 0.40.\(^{16}\) Mason and Cregar\(^{9}\) have found an appreciable increase in values for \( k' \) for polystyrene with an average of one crosslink per 10,000 mers in the polymer chain. On the basis of this information it would appear that the FEAm copolymers were essentially linear in nature.

Viscometer. A modified Ubbelohde viscometer especially constructed for this work incorporated an increased bulb capacity to allow dilutions to be made directly in the viscometer.\(^{17}\) Viscosity determinations were made in a constant temperature bath (\(25 \pm .02^\circ C\)) which employed a clamp to assure a standard position for mounting. The flow time for water was 109 seconds.

Solutions. A single "batch" of dimethyl formamide (DMF) was used for all viscosity determinations. The solvent distilled 151.5-152.2°C at 745 mm. (\( N_p = 1.4300 \)) and was filtered through sintered glass before use. All apparatus used to contain solvents and solutions were treated with hot conc. HNO\(_3\) to remove dust. Polymer solutions were prepared using the completely soluble polymer fractions obtained from the fractionation. Viscosity solutions were prepared in the viscometer by adding a weighed amount of polymer followed by a unit quantity of DMF delivered from a calibrated pipet. The viscometer was capped and gently agitated by fastening to a shaking machine. All samples were shaken overnight to assure complete solution. Successive dilutions were made in the viscometer. The system was allowed to come to equilibrium for at least 10 minutes before any readings were taken. Flow times were measured in triplicate (On a few occasions dust apparently entered the system during dilutions and was recognized by erratic readings. In these cases numerous readings were taken and the shortest flow times used for calculations).

SECTION III

SUMMARY OF RESULTS

Present studies involving the fluorinated acrylamides serve to characterize these new plastics and provide information and technical data to other investigators following parallel courses of research. Significant findings
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fraction</th>
<th>Concentration (gm/100 ml)</th>
<th>ln $\eta_r/\eta$</th>
<th>Slope</th>
<th>$\eta$</th>
<th>$\beta$</th>
<th>$k'$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.0957</td>
<td>.457</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5479</td>
<td>.476</td>
<td>.0301</td>
<td>.490</td>
<td>.125</td>
<td>.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.3652</td>
<td>.479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.2739</td>
<td>.481</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.8537</td>
<td>.283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.4269</td>
<td>.283</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.2846</td>
<td>.290</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.282</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.2134</td>
<td>.294</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.1707</td>
<td>.304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7-8</td>
<td>1.0318</td>
<td>.117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>.118</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5159</td>
<td>.119</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.3439</td>
<td>.116</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>.2580</td>
<td>.117</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fraction</td>
<td>Concentration (gm/100 ml)</td>
<td>In(\text{Re}_e)</td>
<td>-Slope</td>
<td>(\gamma)</td>
<td>(\theta)</td>
<td>(k')</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.2791</td>
<td>0.678</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1450</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td>0.0666</td>
<td>0.690</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0967</td>
<td>0.702</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0725</td>
<td>0.673</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.2075</td>
<td>0.613</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1538</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.0881</td>
<td>0.711</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1025</td>
<td>0.633</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.0769</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0993</td>
<td>0.584</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2516</td>
<td>0.651</td>
<td>0.0561</td>
<td>0.586</td>
<td>0.16</td>
<td>0.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2320</td>
<td>0.566</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2273</td>
<td>0.562</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1818</td>
<td>0.579</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.6399</td>
<td>0.479</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4386</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>0.0281</td>
<td>0.453</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3200</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2560</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2133</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.8711</td>
<td>0.452</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.4396</td>
<td>0.487</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2904</td>
<td>0.483</td>
<td>0.0333</td>
<td>0.494</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2179</td>
<td>0.484</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1742</td>
<td>0.486</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1482</td>
<td>0.485</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.0229</td>
<td>0.492</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5115</td>
<td>0.514</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3410</td>
<td>0.537</td>
<td>0.0405</td>
<td>0.534</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2357</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2046</td>
<td>0.529</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1705</td>
<td>0.522</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.1692</td>
<td>0.604</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5846</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3897</td>
<td>0.658</td>
<td>0.0664</td>
<td>0.687</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2923</td>
<td>0.712</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2330</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1947</td>
<td>0.680</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>1.1763</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.5882</td>
<td>0.645</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.3921</td>
<td>0.657</td>
<td>0.0629</td>
<td>0.682</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2961</td>
<td>0.663</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.2330</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.1961</td>
<td>0.672</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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a. **Konomer Reactivity.** Reactivity ratios for the system N-EPBAm-methyl methacrylate were experimentally determined. Values of \( r_1 = 0.89 \) (N-EPBAm) and \( r_2 = 0.77 \) (methyl methacrylate) indicated the radicals of both monomers showed about equal tendencies toward homo- and copolymerization. A slight preference toward copolymerization is indicated for each of the monomer radicals.

b. **Dilute Solution Behavior.** Normal values of the empirical slope constant \( k' \) for several copolymers indicated these polymers were essentially linear. Intrinsic viscosities determined in dimethyl formamide for two copolymers ranged from values of \( n = 0.118 \) to \( n = 0.690 \).

c. **Thermal Stability.** Evidence is presented which indicates some materials may be expected to show improved thermal stability through copolymerization with fluoroacrylamide monomers. Preliminary semi-quantitative data indicated that in two cases thermal stabilities showed somewhat better than marginal improvements.

d. **Plasticization.** A unique property of the fluoroacrylamide plastics was their elasticity when plasticized with common solvents such as benzene and methanol. The plasticized polymers possessed strength, lively snap, and elongations of several hundred percent.

Further investigations with these and related monomers may prove of interest. New monomer types incorporating fluoroalkoxy side groups and various combinations of two fluorinated side groups would be expected to yield more flexible polymers of improved solvent resistance and possibly greater thermal stability.


3. Ibid., page 413 ff.


